

# **CARES SECURITY WORKGROUP STATUS REPORT**

## **(12/3/03)**

### **Brief summary of next steps:**

- 1) In the short-term we will address the need for cross county access (the "Multiple User ID issue") by developing some scenarios for solutions that will both fix the problem in the short-term and lay the groundwork for the CARES Worker Web security. We will work internally with the State and Deloitte security experts and then bounce the ideas off of the larger group and IMAC IT/W-2 IT committee's.
- 2) CARES Worker Web and CARES Self-Service Web design work will continue as planned and will incorporate the needs identified during the meeting in the final specifications. Obviously, the CARES Worker Web will lead the effort as the Self-Service component is lagging by a couple months and will have other, unique needs. (We will also be linking with WAMS requirements in this process.)
- 3) The Milwaukee County/W-2 needs will also be addressed and will be included as part of the follow-up to the FS Payment Accuracy Project currently underway. This effort likely won't begin until February/March, but once again, we will incorporate any unique needs identified into the Web project(s).

### **Parking Lot "Issues":**

- 1) Security needs for other CARES "related" applications (i.e. LTC, Barrier Screening Tool) (Tim Hinline)
- 2) The legal contract aspects related to IM Consortia and potential RMS impacts (Joanne Simpson)
- 3) Private vs. Public worker data access rights (specifically IRS data) (Bob Martin/Tim Hinline)
- 4) Forward Services requirements since they weren't represented (Joanne Kanter)
- 5) Long-term, how do we implement and support security (i.e. as is in DWD, at DOA, DHFS, other??) (Mir Qader - CARES Transition item)
- 6) Impact on Automated Case Directory Data integrity (Ken Funck)